



Is Religion Adaptive? Integrating cognition and function









Robin Dunbar British Academy Centenary Project

> Institute of Cognitive & Evolutionary Anthropology University of Oxford



Two Evolutionary Questions about Religion

Nothing as costly as religion could possibly be a maladaptation or a mere by-product

What does [did] religion do for us?

 Why did religion [apparently] evolve only in humans?

Four [Traditional] Functions for Religion

gives coherence to a complex world [Freud]
psychological wellbeing
social bonding [Durkheim]
enforces conformity [moral codes] [Marx]



Does Religion Benefit You?

Frequent claims that religion has no adaptive benefits at the individual level notwithstanding...

Compared to others, <u>actively</u> religious people:

- live longer
- are more content/happier
- are less stressed
- suffer fewer psychological problems
- recover faster from surgery

[Data from extensive sociological and epidemiological studies in past decade]

BUT, even if none of these was true....

The World of Multilevel Selection

0





Old World monkeys



Human social networks scale hierarchically



•

Feral goats on Rum

Multi-level social systems are common in mammals

When sociality involves an implicit social contract....

Fitness accrues at the level of the individual, but through benefits generated by the group

It requires a more subtle understanding of fitness – Hamilton's original concept [neighbour-modulated fitnesses]

This is NOT group selection

Elephants at Amboseli





Back To The Beginning....

- Social Brain Hypothesis
- An explanation for the evolution of large brains in primates
- Evidence: group size

 [and many aspects of
 "smart" behaviour] are a
 function of neocortex
 volume



Dunbar 1992, 1998

Neocortex ratio = neocortex vol/rest of brain [i.e. "thinking" part of brain]

Humans and the Social Brain

 Predicted group size for humans is ~150
 [Dunbar's Number]





...BUT primate societies are very intimate

Human **Social Groups**

All these have mean sizes of 100-200

Neolithic villages 6500 BC 150-200 Modern armies (company) 180 Hutterite communities 107 'Nebraska' Amish parishes 113 business organisation ideal church congregations Doomsday Book villages C18th English villages GoreTex Inc's structure <200 <200 150 160 150 Research sub-disciplines 100-200

Small world experiments 134 Hunter-Gatherer communities 148 **Xmas card networks** 154



Hill & Dunbar (2003)



Hunter-Gatherer



Maximum Network Size

The Freerider Problem

- All primate societies are based on an implicit social contract [cooperation]
- All such systems are susceptible to freeriders
- Dispersed social systems are especially susceptible
- Punishment [*stick*] has attracted most attention...
- BUT it's only as effective as the detection rate
- Voluntary commitment [*carrot*] is much more effective

Enquist & Leimar (1993)





The Twin Pincers of Primate Sociality



 The intensity of intimacy



Mentalising [understanding other's minds]

The Intensity of Intimacy

- Social bonding primate-style
- Intimacy influences trust and obligation
- Grooming increases with group size
- Grooming releases endorphins and creates an opiate "high"





Mean grooming time vs mean group size for individual primate species

Grooming Time in Humans?

 Grooming as the bonding agent in primates

 Grooming time is a linear function of group size



Three Ways to Bridge the Gap?



Religion and its rituals



Millions Years BP



Singing and dancing

Laughter a cross-cultural trait shared with chimpanzees

Laughter... the Best Medicine?



Procedure: pain test – video/activity – pain re-test





In a Public Good Game



(but not "friends") after watching a comedy video

Musical Endorphins





Musical performance facilitates endorphin release, but listening to music does not

Procedure: pain test – activity – pain re-test

Dunbar, Kaskatis, MacDonald & Barra (submitted)

An Opium for the Masses?

Religious practices are often well suited to stimulate endorphins



Medieval flagellants



Stigmata of Padre Pio



Ecstatic states: ⇒ make you relaxed ⇒ enhance sense of communality

So, why not get your kicks on your own?

....because creating a sense of "bondingness" [commitment to community and prosociality] requires doing it together [i.e. interacting]!



Synchony Ramps up the Endorphins



Cohen et al

(2008)

Change in pain threshold before and after 45 mins rowing work-out on ergometers in the gym:

Alone vs in a virtual boat



Why Does Religion Have This Effect?

There are two likely mechanisms:

 direct influence of endorphins [endorphins seem to "tune" the immune system]

 sense of belonging and communality acting directly OR indirectly through support from network members ... being a member of a large kinship group reduces

(1) illness rates in children [Newcastle and Trindad]

(2) death rates in adults [the *Mayflower* colonists in 1620 and the Donner Party of 1846]



Mean Relatedness in Plymouth Colony

The Transcendental Edge...?



Something about a transcendental dimension raises commitment to the project C19th US utopian cults Religious cults last longer than secular ones



Sosis & Alcorta (2003)

The Ecology of Community Size



Nettle (1999)

- Small communities in the Tropics, larger ones at higher latitudes
- Religion density and collectivism are a function of disease prevalence [aka latitude]



 $^{0}_{-1.5}$

-1.0

historical pathogen prevalence

Ó

-0.5

Fincher et al (2008)

0.5

1.0

Cults are a Small-Scale Phenomenon

 Foundation size for C19th US utopian cults

 Against expectation (scale-free effect from Zipf's Law), N<30 is uncommon, and 150>N>400 is the most common

Dunbar & Sosis (in prep)



Is There an Optimal Cult Size?

 Very small and very large foundations don't survive well

Optimum size ~150 For secular communes, optimal size may even be smaller (~50)

Dunbar & Sosis (in prep)



The Role of Social Cognition

Kindermann et al

Stiller & Dunbar

(1998)

(2007)

- Intentionality as a reflexively hierarchical sequence of belief states
 - ...that may be very costly in information processing terms







Level of intensionality

Religion at the Limits of Cognition...?

Belief as a <u>personal</u> phenomenon
 "I <u>believe</u> that God <u>wants</u> us to <u>act</u>
 <u>with righteous intent</u>"

 Belief as a social phenomenon "I intend that you believe that God wants us to act with righteous intent"
 BUT why should you care?

 Belief as a <u>communal phenomenon</u>: "I <u>intend</u> that you <u>understand</u> that we <u>believe</u> that God <u>wants</u> us to <u>act with righteous intent</u>" [5]



[3]

[4]





Why is Religion Unique to Humans?

- If achievable level of intentionality really is determined by capacity of frontal lobe...
- ...only humans have sufficient capacity for 5th order



Dunbar (2003)

Can We Date the Origins of Religion?

- Maybe....
- If we can estimate frontal lobe volume from cranial capacity...

...then we can estimate achievable level of intentionality



Frontal Lobe Vol. (cc)

Dating the Origins of Religion

- Sufficient frontal lobe volume appears very late
- … not earlier than the appearance of *Homo sapiens*

[Don't get too excited about the Neanderthals]



Million of Years Ago

Conclusions

- Social contract societies risk collapse from freeriding unless mechanisms are in place to enforce social cohesion
- In primates, this involves both cognitive and psychopharmacological mechanisms
- Voluntary commitment [*carrot*] works better than punishment [*stick*]
- Religion and ritual seem to function in just these ways
- Religion is: a small scale phenomenon, very susceptible to fragmentation well designed to reinforce in-group/out-group effects [Durkheim was basically right]
- Other benefits are by-products
- Religion may be a very recent evolutionary phenomenon

